1 2 3 4 5 6	MATTHEW R. EASON, ESQ. (SBN 160148) KYLE K. TAMBORNINI, ESQ. (SBN 160538) KRISTOFOR K. HELM, ESQ. (SBN 301179) EASON & TAMBORNINI 1234 H STREET, SUITE 200 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 438-1819 FACSIMILE (916) 438-1820 Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
7	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9				
10	MICHAELA MANAGO,			
11	Plaintiffs,	Case No.: 2:24-cv-01496-TLN-CKD		
12	VS.	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE		
13	HAP TRUCKING LTD; HAP	MODIFICATION OF PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER		
14	TRANSPORTATION GROUP, LTD;			
15	GURHAM SINGH GILL and DOES 1-25, INCLUSIVE,			
16	Defendants.			
17				
18				
19	THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD, agree to the following			
20	modifications to the Court's Pretrial Scheduling Order:			
21   22	1. The parties have acted diligently throughout this matter, but due to a number of outstanding			
23	legal and discovery issues, the parties agree that additional time is necessary to complete			
24	discovery and prepare the matter for trial. The outstanding issues include:			
25	a. Additional time will permit the parties to continue with meaningful discovery and			
26	investigation and to coordinate of	depositions of party witnesses, third party witnesses,		
	and medical treaters;			

b. Plaintiff continues to treat and recover from her collision related injuries, and there may

1			be a substantial change in he	er treatment and/or recovery after the close of discovery;
2			and	
3		c.	Additional time will permi	it the parties to explore alternative dispute resolution
4			following the completion of	additional discovery;
5	2.	The pa	arties agree that they have pro-	ceeded in good faith, and any delay is not due to a lack of
6		diliger	nce.	
7	3.	The pa	arties agree that the deadlines s	set forth in the related Garcia matter (Plaintiff has recently
8		filed a	motion to consolidate this ma	atter with the Garcia matter) would be most appropriate.
9	4.	Subjec	et to court approval, the par	ties have agreed to the following deadlines regarding
10		discov	very, expert discourse and the	filing of dispositive motions:
11		a.	Discovery, with the exception	on of expert discovery, shall be completed by September
12			16, 2025	
13		b.	The initial expert disclosure	deadline shall be November 14, 2025
14		c.	The supplemental expert disc	closure deadline shall be December 15, 2025
15	d. Supplemental disclosures and responses pursuant to FRCP § 26(e) shall be exchanged			
16	no later than February 13, 2026			
17	e. Dispositive motions shall be filed no later than March 16, 2026			
18	D 4 1	N	2 2025	EACON & TAMPODNINI
19	Datea:	March	1 3, 2025	EASON & TAMBORNINI
20				/s/ Kristofor K. Helm
21				KRISTOFOR K. HELM Attorney for Plaintiffs
22				
23	Dated:	March	n 3, 2025	LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM L. BAKER
24				
25				/s/ David A. Serrano DAVID A. SERRANO
26				Attorney for Defendants
27				
28				2

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2		
3 4	Good Cause appearing and based on the stipulation of the parties, the Pretrial Scheduling Order is modified as follows:	
5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>a. Discovery, with the exception of expert discovery, shall be completed by September 16, 2025</li> <li>b. The initial expert disclosure deadline shall be November 14, 2025</li> <li>c. The supplemental expert disclosure deadline shall be December 15, 2025</li> <li>d. Supplemental disclosures and responses pursuant to FRCP § 26(e) shall be exchanged no later than February 13, 2026</li> </ul>	
12	e. Dispositive motions shall be filed no later than March 16, 2026	
13 14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15 16 17 18	Dated: March 3, 2025  Troy L. Nunley Chief United States District Judge	
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
7.X	2	